IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 10943 of 2005

Between:

1 Ramidi Laxmamma, D/o Late R. Kista Reddy,
2 Ramidi Gopal Reddy, S/o Ram Reddy,
3 P. Janga Reddy, s/o Papi Reddy,
4 K. Sai Reddy, S/o Monka Reddy,
5 K. Sathaiah, s/o Monka Reddy,
6 S. Ramaiah, S/o Buchaiah,
7 Bonakurthi Mallaiah, S/o Narayana,
8 Bonakurthi Narsimha, s/o Narayana,
9 Bonakurthi Venkaiah, S/o Narayana,
10 Bonakurthi Kistaiah, S/o Narayana,
(All are residents of Ganapur Village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District).

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
2 Vemula Ettamma, w/o Pochaiah,
3 Vemula Venkatesh, S/o Pochaiah,
4 Vemula Balamma, w/o Yadagiri,
5 Vemula Chittaiah, S/o Yadagiri,
6 Vemula Kumar, S/o Yadagiri,
7 Vemula Bikshapathi, S/o Chittaiah,
8 Vemula Srinivas, S/o Laxmaiah,
9 Vemula Nagesh, S/o Laxmaiah,
10 Vemula Yadamma, W/o Laxmaiah,
11 Vemula Maisaiah, S/o Ramaiah,
12 Vemula Swamy, s/o Ramaiah,
13 Vemula Sataiah, S/o Ramaiah,
14 Vemula Anjaiah, S/o Mallaiah,

15 Vemula Anthaiah, s/o Mallaiah,
16 Vemula Jangamma, w/o Mallaiah,
17 Vemula Yadaiah, s/o Mallaiah,
18 Vemula Muthaiah, S/o Sailoo
(All are R/o Ganapur Village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District).

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not taking of the Interlocutory Application filed appeal No. F1/P1/1013 of 2005 against the Proceedings No. J/1307/2004 dt. 14-12-2004 being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art. 14 of the Constitution of India besides being violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 1st respondent to take up the Interlocutory Application in appeal immediately.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.A.RAVINDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following:

THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

W.P.NO.10943 OF 2005

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioners is that when they preferred an appeal before the first respondent-Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad, under
A.P. (T.A.) Abolition of Inams Act and also an application
for the suspension of the orders passed in favour of respondents 2 to 18 recognising them as occupants of the land, the first respondent is not taking up the interlocutory application and is not passing orders on it.

In the light of the grievance expressed by the petitioners, the first respondent is directed to take up the interlocutory application filed by the petitioners in the appeal, pending before him, and pass appropriate orders on merits, within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

There shall be an order of status quo as on today till the date of first respondent passing orders in the said interlocutory application.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

_____

(Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU, J)

Dated May 3, 2005.

Note: Issue copy by 04-05-2005.

B/O

ycr/kvr

To

1 Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.
4. 2 CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Bldgs, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies.